



National State Auditors Association

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR
EXTERNAL PEER REVIEW

FOR THE PERIOD
JUNE 1, 2008 THROUGH MAY 31, 2009



National State Auditors Association

June 30, 2009

Ms. Beth Wood, State Auditor
Office of the State Auditor
2 South Salisbury Street
2061 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-0601

Dear Ms. Wood:


We have reviewed the system of quality control of the North Carolina State Auditors in effect for the period of June 1, 2008 to May 31, 2009. A system of quality control encompasses the office's organizational structure and the policies adopted and procedures established to provide it with reasonable assurance of conforming with government auditing standards. The design of the system and compliance with it are the responsibility of the office. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the design of the system, and the office's compliance with the system based on our review.

We conducted our review in accordance with the policies and procedures for external peer reviews established by the National State Auditors Association (NSAA). In performing our review, we obtained an understanding of the office's system of quality control for engagements conducted in accordance with government auditing standards. In addition, we tested compliance with the office's quality control policies and procedures to the extent we considered appropriate. These tests covered the application of the office's policies and procedures on selected engagements. The engagements selected represented a reasonable cross-section of the office's engagements conducted in accordance with government auditing standards. We believe that the procedures we performed provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Our review was based on selective tests; therefore it would not necessarily disclose all weaknesses in the system of quality control or all instances of lack of compliance with it. Also, there are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of quality control; therefore, noncompliance with the system of quality control may occur and not be detected. Projection of any evaluation of a system of quality control to future periods is subject to the risk that the system of quality control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or because the detected. Projection of any evaluation of a system of quality control to future periods is subject to the risk that the system of quality control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or because the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the system of quality control of the State of North Carolina, Office of the Auditor in effect for the period June 1, 2008 to May 31, 2009 has been suitably designed and was complied with during the period to provide reasonable assurance of conforming with government auditing standards.

As is customary in a peer review, we have issued a letter under this date that sets forth comments that were not considered to be of sufficient significance to affect the opinion expressed in this report.



Elizabeth Cornell, CPA
Team Leader
National State Auditors Association
External Peer Review Team



Martha Mavredes, CPA
Concurring Reviewer
National State Auditors Association
External Peer Review Team